

# STUDY OF SOLVENT ACCESSIBILITY OF NEUROTOXIN FROM Mesobuthus Tamulus

Smruti S. Changbhale<sup>1</sup>, Sonu Mishra<sup>2</sup>, Virendra Gomase<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Global Open University, Dimapur, Nagaland, (India) <sup>2,3</sup>Department of Biotechnology, Mewar University, Chittorgarh, Rajasthan, (India)

#### ABSTRACT

Mesobuthus tamulus is an Indian red scorpion, which is the most lethal species of the Buthidae family in India.We study the hydrophobicity of amino acid side-chains in peptides are fundamental in understanding protein folding, stability, function, protein-protein interactions and to design synthetic peptide vaccine. Several hydrophobicity scales have been developed for various uses. In this study, we have predicted the binding affinity of neurotoxin having 64 amino acids, which shows antigenic Peptide. These antigenicfragments of the neurotoxin can be use in rational vaccine design and to increase the understanding of roles of the immune system in neurotoxin studies.

Keywords: Neurotoxin, Peptide, Antigen, Surface activity

#### I. INSTRUCTION

Neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* is active peptides with different biological properties that have ability to target specifically neural components and have therapeutic potentials. Highly acidic neurotoxin from scorpion Buthus tamulus acts on nerve cells by interacting with membrane proteins [1,2]. Generally amino acid residues are located in the surface of a protein that serve as active sites and interact with ligands. Solvent accessibility used to identify active site of functionally important residues in membrane proteins and also indicates hydrophobic and hydrophilic characteristics of amino acids and scales are developed for predicting potential antigenic sites of proteins that are rich in charged and polar residues. Solvent-accessible surface areas and backbone angles are continuously varying because proteins can move freely in a three-dimensional space [3-7].

#### **II. MATERIAL AND METHODS**

#### 2.1. Antigenic epitope Prediction

Antigenic epitopes are determined by exploitation Bepipred Epitope Prediction. Predictions are on the basis of supported plots that ensure the prevalence of amino acid residues in experimentation notable segmental epitopes [30,31]. The peptide vaccines concept is based on identification and chemical synthesis of B-cell and T-cell epitopes which are immunodominant and can induce specific immune responses.



Deeper knowledge of antigens of neurotoxin from Mesobuthus tamulus, mechanisms of immune response and the development of effective and safe adjuvants give hope that the effective peptide vaccines will be developed in the future [32,33].

#### 2.2. Solvent accessible regions and surface accessibility

For the study of solvent accessible regions in proteins different measurement was performed that are useful for the prediction of antigenic activity, surface region of peptides. *Emani et al.*, 1985 [8] predicts the highest probability that a given protein region lies on the surface of a protein and are used to identify antigenic determinants on the surface of proteins. *Karplus and Schulz*[9] predict backbone or chain flexibility on the basis of the known temperature B factors of the a-carbons. The mobility of protein segments which are located on the surface of a protein due to an entropic energy potential and which seem to correlate well with known antigenic determinants.

#### 2.3. Study of Hydrophobicity of neurotoxin from Mesobuthus tamulus

Hydrophobicity scales are developed for the prediction of the protein folding patterns, polar and nonpolar residue with a protein sequence such analysis has the goal of predicting membrane spanning segments hydrophobic or regions that are likely exposed on the surface of proteins (Hydrophilic domain) and therefore potentially antigenic. Hydrophobicity determines where the amino acid will be located in the final structure of the protein. We used hydrophobicity plot of Sweet et al.,Kyte& Doolittle, Abraham & Leo, Bull & Breese scale, Guy, Miyazawa et al., Roseman MA, Chothia, Eisenberg et al., Chothia, Eisenberg et al., Manavalan et al., Black,Fauchere et al., Janin, Rao MJK, Tanford, Rose et al., Wolfenden et al., Wilson & et.al.

#### **III. RESULTS**

#### **Protein Sequence**

Neurotoxin [*Mesobuthus tamulus*] GEDGYIADGDNCTYICTFNNYCHALCTDKKGDSGACDWWVPYGVVCWCEDLPTPVPIRGSGKCR

Theoretical pI: 4.47, Mw (average mass): 7040.87Mw (monoisotopic mass): 7036.04





Average: 1.000 Minimum: 0.124 Maximum: 7.808 Threshold: 1.000

**Fig-1**Emini surface accessibility prediction revealed an epitope with 6 amino acid residues maximum (7.808) in the sequence positions 27- TDKKGD -32 of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus*.

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science and Engineering

Vol. No.2, Issue 05, May 2016 www.ijirse.com



#### **Predicted peptides:**

| No. | Start Position | End Position | Peptide | Peptide Length |
|-----|----------------|--------------|---------|----------------|
| 1   | 27             | 32           | TDKKGD  | 6              |

#### **3.2. Karplus-Schulz flexibility prediction**



Average: 0.999 Minimum: 0.916 Maximum: 1.084 Threshold: 1.000

**Fig-2**Karplus-Schulz prediction of chain flexibility in neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* (threshold setting = 1.000) Karplus-Schulz analysis revealed a predicted epitope which shows high score in amino acid 28-DKKGDS-33, 58-RGS-60 (1.084 (maximum)

#### 3.3. Bepipred Epitope Prediction



**Fig-3**Bepipred Epitope Prediction in neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* (threshold setting = 0.350) Bepipred Epitope Prediction analysis revealed a predicted epitope with 19 amino acid residues in the sequence positions 1- GEDGYIADGD -10, 28-DKKGDSGAC -36.

| No. | Start Position | End Position | Peptide    | Peptide Length |
|-----|----------------|--------------|------------|----------------|
| 1   | 1              | 10           | GEDGYIADGD | 10             |
| 2   | 28             | 36           | DKKGDSGAC  | 9              |

# 4. Surface activity: Hydrophobicity and Hydrophilicity of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus*

Hydrophobic are nonpolar regions located inside of the membrane (buried in the protein core), usually membrane-spanning proteins (hydrophobic) whereas hydrophilic groups are polar, which are located on the



surface of proteins (hydrophilic domains) on the outside of the protein, interacting with the water by hydrogen bonding in the cytosol. Secondary structure of protein depends on the hydrophobic properties of the amino acid residue side chains that are stabilized by non-covalent interactions i.e., hydrophobic, Vander Waals, electrostatic and hydrogen bonding interactions. Interaction between the non-polar amino-acid residues and the aqueous environment provides a strong hydrophobic force for protein folding [10] forming a hydrophobic core in the protein interior. The Calculation of hydrophobicity identifies the location of potential protein features which is antigenic, exposed or the buried residues. The amino acids making up the epitope are usually charged and hydrophilic in nature so their accurate determination of hydrophobicity/ hydrophilicity of amino acid side-chains in peptides are fundamental in understanding protein folding, stability, function, protein-protein interactions and to design synthetic peptide vaccine. Several hydrophobicity scales have been developed for various uses. Sweet et al. (1983), Kyte& Doolittle (1982), Abraham & Leo(19987), Bull and Breese (1974), Guy (1985), Miyazawa, et al (1985), Roseman (1988), Chothia (1976), Eisenberg et al. (1984), Manavalan, et al (1978), Black (1991), Fauchere, et al (1983), Janin (1979), Rao and Argos (1986), Tanford (1962), Rose et al. (1985), Wolfendenet al. (1981), Wilson et al. (1981) [11-29]. The maximum region of hydrophilicity is to be considered as an antigenic having hydrophobic characteristics. Because the N- and C- terminal region of proteins are usually solvent accessible and antigenic which form antibodies.

#### Hydrophobicity plot of Sweet et al.



**Fig-1**Hydrophobicity plot of Sweet *et al.* (1983) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* shows at position 15-Score: 0.309,Position: 16-Score: 0.309, Position: 17-Score: 0.476, Position: 18 Score: 0.526 (max).



#### Kyte& Doolittle hydrophobicity plot

**Fig-2**Kyte& Doolittle hydrophobicity plot of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* shows at position MAX: 1.614. Regions with value above (0) are hydrophobic in nature. A window size of 5-7 generally works well for



predicting putative surface-exposed regions as well as transmembrane regions. Position: 42- Score: 1.111, Position: 43- Score: 1.111, Position: 44 Score: 1.489 (max).

#### Abraham & Leo hydrophobicity plot



**Fig-3**Abraham & Leo hydrophobicity plot of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* shows Position: 40 - Score: 1.308,Position: 41- Score: 1.436,Position: 42- Score: 1.534 (max), Position: 43- Score: 1.534 (max), Position: 44- Score: 1.314.

#### Bull & Breese scale use surface tension to measure hydrophobicity



**Fig-4**Bull & Breese use surface tension to measure hydrophobicity and also uses negative values to describe the hydrophobicity of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus*.Position: 30 Score: 0.537, Position: 31- Score: 0.564, Position: 32- Score: 0.572 (max), Position: 33 - Score: 0.572 (max), Position: 34 Score: 0.388. **Hydrophobicity scale of Guy** 



Fig-5Hphob. /Guy. (1985) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* that shows Position: 30 Score: 0.466, Position: 31- Score: 0.634 (max), Position: 32 Score: 0.469, Position: 33 Score: 0.469.
Hydrophobicity plot of Miyazawaet al.

### International Journal of Innovative Research in Science and Engineering



Vol. No.2, Issue 05, May 2016 www.ijirse.com



**Fig-6**Hydrophobicity plot of Miyazawa*et al.* (1985) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 40 Score: 6.260,Position: 41 Score: 6.227,Position: 42 Score: 6.709,Position: 43 Score: 6.709,Position: 44 Score: 6.739 (max),Position: 45 Score: 6.297,Position: 46 Score: 6.266,Position: 47 Score: 6.552,Position: 48 Score: 6.484,Position: 49 Score: 6.136.

#### Hydrophobicity plot of Roseman MA



**Fig-7**Hydrophobicity plot of Roseman MA (1988) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 38 Score: 0.539, Position: 39 Score: 0.539, Position: 40 Score: 0.640, Position: 41 Score: 0.757, Position: 42 Score: 1.208 (max), Position: 43 Score: 1.208 (max), Position: 44 Score: 0.999, Position: 45 Score: 0.531.



#### Hydrophobicity plot of Chothia

Fig-8Hphob. /Chothia. (1976) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 42 Score: 0.372, Position: 43 Score: 0.372, Position: 44 Score: 0.398 (max),Position: 45 Score: 0.358,Position: 46 Score: 0.354,Position: 47 Score: 0.388 Position: 48 Score: 0.368

# International Journal of Innovative Research in Science and Engineering

Vol. No.2, Issue 05, May 2016 www.ijirse.com



Hydrophobicity plot of Eisenberg et al.



Fig-9Hydrophobicity plot of Eisenberg *et al.* (1984) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 40 Score: 0.448,Position: 41 Score: 0.536,Position: 42 Score: 0.668 (max),Position: 43 Score: 0.668 (max),Position: 44 Score: 0.610, Position: 45 Score: 0.408

#### Hydrophobicity plot of Manavalanet al.



**Fig-10**Hydrophobicity plot of Manavalan*et al.* (1978) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 41 Score: 13.673,Position: 42 Score: 14.093,Position: 43 Score: 14.093,Position: 44 Score: 14.171 (max),Position: 45 Score: 13.747,Position: 46 Score: 13.689,Position: 47 Score: 13.853,Position: 48 Score: 13.736.

#### Hydrophobicity plot of Black





Fig-11 Hphob. /Black. (1991) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 38
Score: 0.666, Position: 39 Score: 0.666, Position: 40 Score: 0.690, Position: 41 Score:
0.706, Position: 42 Score: 0.778 (max), Position: 43 Score: 0.778 Position: 44 Score: 0.756, Position:
45 Score: 0.669

Hydrophobicity plot of Fauchereet al.



**Fig-12**Hydrophobicity plot of Fauchere*et al.* (1983) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 40 Score: 1.043,Position: 41 Score: 1.008,Position: 42 Score: 1.264 (max),Position: 43 Score: 1.264 (max),Position: 44 Score: 1.186 Position: 45 Score: 0.979. **Hydrophobicity plot of Janin** 



Fig-13 Hydrophobicity plot of Janin J (1979) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* based on the accessible and buried amino acid residues of proteins which shows high score at Position: 42 Score: 0.322,Position:
43 Score: 0.322,Position: 44 Score: 0.389 (max),Position: 45 Score: 0.244,Position: 46 Score: 0.211,Position: 47 Score: 0.311,Position: 48 Score: 0.244.





**Fig-14** Hydrophobicity plot of Rao MJK (1986) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 42 Score: 1.093,Position: 43 Score: 1.093,Position: 44 Score: 1.123 (max),Position: 45 Score: 0.999.

#### Hydrophobicity plot of Tanford



**Fig-15** Hydrophobicity plot of Tanford (1962) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 41 Score: 0.866,Position: 42 Score: 0.908,Position: 43 Score: 0.908 (max),Position: 44 Score: 0.850.

#### Hydrophobicity scale of Rose et al.



Fig-16 Hphob, Rose & al. (1985) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position:
42 Score: 0.812,Position: 43 Score: 0.812,Position: 44 Score: 0.819 (max),Position: 45 Score:
0.792,Position: 46 Score: 0.790.

#### Hydrophobicity plot of Wolfendenet al.



35 | Page



Fig-17 Hydrophobicity plot of Wolfendenet al. (1981) of neurotoxin from Mesobuthus tamulus



#### Hydrophobicity/HPLC plot of Wilson & et.al

**Fig-18** Hydrophobicity/HPLC plot of Wilson & et.al (1981) of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* which shows high score at Position: 40 Score: 4.778, Position: 41 Score: 4.733, Position: 42 Score: 5.589 (max), Position: 43 Score: 5.589 (max), Position: 44 Score: 5.411, Position: 45 Score: 4.756.

#### **IV. RESULT INTERPRETATION & DISCUSSION**

Antigenic determinants of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* are determined by finding the area of greatest local hydrophobicity using above methods. This method has a high success rate than other methods. The success of this method is its cautious approach to charge interactions that gives equal weight to positive and negative charged residues, whereas other methods tend to favor one or the other. The sites chosen by this method is to be highly exposed and charged regions of the protein's surface therefore, have ample opportunity to contact other proteins. This prediction revealed an epitope with 6 amino acid residues maximum (7.808) in the sequence positions 27- TDKKGD -32 of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus*. And Karplus and Schulz chain flexibility in neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* analysis revealed a predicted epitope with 9 amino acid residues in the sequence positions 28- DKKGDS-33, 58-RGS-60 (1.084 (maximum) (Fig-1,2,3) to predict membrane-spanning domains. We also found surface activity i.e., hydrophobic and hydrophilic regions that are likely exposed on the protein surface (Fig-1-18) by Sweet et al. (1983), which shows at position shows at position 15-18 Score: 0.526 (max). Kyte& Doolittle (1982) shows the position of MAX: 1.489. Regions with value above (0) are hydrophobic in nature.A window size of 5-7 generally works well for predicting putative surface-exposed regions as well as transmembrane regions. 42-44 Score: 1.489 (max). Abraham & Leo (19987) show the Position 40 - 42- 1.534 (max), Position: 43- 44. Bull and Breese shows 30- 32- Score: 0.572 (max), 33 - 34. Guy (1985) shows: 30 - 31- Score: 0.634 (max), 32- 33. Miyazawa, et al (1985) which shows high score at Score: 6.739 (max), 45-49.Roseman (1988) which shows high score at Position: 38-43 Position: 40 - 44 Score: 1.208 (max), 44- 45, Eisenberg et al. (1984) 40- 42 Score: 0.668 (max), Position: 43-44, Manavalan, et al (1978) which shows high score at Position: 41-44 Score: 14.171 (max), 45- 48, Black (1991) which shows high score at Position: 38-42 Score: 0.778 (max), Position: 43-45 Score: 0.669, Fauchere, et al (1983) 40 Score: 1.043, Position: 41 Score: 1.008, Position: 42 Score: 1.264 (max),Position: 43 Score: 1.264 (max),Position: 44 Score: 1.186 Position: 45 Score: 0.979, Rao and Argos (1986) which shows high score at Position: 42 Score: 1.093, Position: 43 Score: 1.093, Position:



44 Score: 1.123 (max), Position: 45 Score: 0.999, Tanford (1962) shows high score at Position: 41 Score: 0.866, Position: 42 Score: 0.908, Position: 43 Score: 0.908 (max), Position: 44 Score: 0.850, Rose et al. (1985) which shows high score at Position: 42 Score: 0.812, Position: 43 Score: 0.812, Position: 44 Score: 0.819 (max), Position: 45 Score: 0.792, Position: 46 Score: 0.790, Wolfendenet al. (1981). ProtScale only provides a raw signal. Hydropathy scale is a physiochemical property that quantifies the hydrophobicity of an amino acid. A window size is suggested to be 7-9 residues for predicting surface sites. The most of used scales are hydrophobicity scales which are derived on the basis of experimental studies on partitioning of peptides in apolar and polar solvents to predict membrane-spanning segments that are highly hydrophobic and secondary structure conformational parameter scales. The maximum region of hydrophilicity is to be considered as an antigenic site having hydrophobic characteristics.

#### **V. CONCLUSION**

Peptide fragments of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* involved multiple antigenic components to direct and empower the immune system to protect the host. From the above result it is concluded that Antigenicity methods predict the location of antigenic determinants neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* that are antigenic by eliciting an antibody response. Hence, the region spanning the sequence positions will be of greater importance for epitope-based vaccine design. The amino acids making up the epitope are usually charged and hydrophilic in nature. From the study of physicochemical properties it was found that, the region of maximal hydrophilicity is likely to be antigenic site, having hydrophobic characteristics because c- terminal region of neurotoxin from *Mesobuthus tamulus* is solvent accessible. The mobility of protein segments those are located on the surface of a protein due to an entropic energy potential which seem to correlate well with known antigenic determinants. These antigenic peptides can be used as their identifiers. Therefore, these antigenic determinants are also important for synthetic peptide vaccine production.

#### **Conflict on Interest - None**

#### REFERENCES

- [1] Natu VS, Murthy RK, Deodhar KP. Efficacy of species specific anti-scorpion venom serum (AScVS) against severe, serious scorpion stings (Mesobuthus tamulus concanesisPocock)--an experience from rural hospital in western Maharashtra.J Assoc Physicians India. 2006 Apr;54:283-7.
- [2] Lokanatha V, Rajendra W. Fractionation of scorpion (Mesobuthus tamulus) venom and the impact of specific fractions on glutamate metabolism. J BiochemMolBiolBiophys. 2002 Jun;6(3):167-70.
- [3] Mishra S, Gomase VS (2016) "Cytochrome B"- Analysis of Hydrophobicity, Surface Accessibility, Antigenicity and Prediction of MHC I and MHC II Binders from Dracunculiasis. Med chem 6: 041-046. doi:10.4172/2161-0444.1000322.
- [4] Sonu M, Gomase S (2015) Analysis of hydrophobicity and antigenic epitope prediction from D. Medinensis. International Conference on "Technologies for Sustainability-Engineering, information Technology, Management and the Environment" (SUSTECH-15). pp: 44-51. ISBN: 978-81-931039-7-5.



- [5] Sonu M, Gomase S (2015) Analysis of hydrophobicity and antigenicity of heat shock protein 70 from GWD. 2nd International Conference on "Recent Innovations in science, Engineering and Management" (ICRISEM-15). pp: 25-33. ISBN: 978-81-931039-9-9.
- [6] Mishra S, Gomase VS (2016) Study Hydrophobicity and Antigenicity of Cytochrome C Oxidase Subunit II from D. medinensis: New Prototype of Synthetic Vaccine Development. ImmunochemImmunopathol 2:113. doi:10.4172/2469-9756.1000113
- [7] Mishra S, Gomase VS (2016) Identification of Antigenic Determinants, Solvent Accessibility and MHC Binders of Antigen NADH Dehydrogenase Subunit 5 from a Little Dragon from Medina (Dracunculusmedinensis). Drug Des 5:126. doi:10.4172/2169-0138.1000126.
- [8] Emini EA, Hughes JV, Perlow DS, Boger J. Induction of hepatitis a virus-neutralizing antibody by a virusspecific synthetic peptide. J Virol. 1985; 55: 836-839.
- [9] Karplus PA and Schulz, GE. Prediction of chain flexibility in proteins: a tool for the selection of peptide antigen. Naturwissenschaften. 1985; 72: 212-213.
- [10] Dill KA (1990). Dominant forces in protein folding. Biochemistry. 29:7133–55.
- [11] Sweet RM and Eisenberg D. Correlation of sequence hydrophobicities measures similarity in threedimensional protein structure.J. Mol. Biol. 1983; 171: 479–488.
- [12] Kyte J, Doolittle RF. A Simple Method for Displaying the Hydropathic Character of a Protein. J. Mol. Biol. 1982; 157: 105-132.
- [13] Abraham DJ, Leo AJ. Extension of the fragment method to calculate amino acid zwitterions and side chain partition coefficients. Proteins. 1987; 2: 130-152.
- [14] Bull HB, Breese K. Surface tension of amino acid solutions: A hydrophobicity scale of the amino acid residues. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 1974;161: 665-670.
- [15] Guy HR. Amino acid side chain partition energies and distributions of residues in soluble proteins. Biophys. J.1985; 47: 61-70.
- [16] Miyazawa S, Jernigen RL. Estimation of Effective Interresidue Contact Energies from Protein Crystal Structures: Quasi-Chemical Approximation. Macromolecules.1985;18: 534-552.
- [17] Roseman MA. Hydrophilicity of polar amino acid side-chains is markedly reduced by flanking peptide bonds. J. Mol. Biol. 1988;200: 513-522.
- [18] Chothia C. The nature of accessible and buried surfaces in proteins. J. Mol. Biol. 1976;105: 1-14.
- [19] Eisenberg D, Schwarz E, Komaromy M, Wall R. Analysis of membrane and surface protein sequences with the hydrophobic moment plot. J. Mol. Biol. 1984;179: 125-142.
- [20] Manavalan P, Ponnuswamy PK. Hydrophobic character of amino acid residues in globular proteins. Nature. 1978;275: 673-674.
- [21] Black SD, Mould DR. Development of Hydrophobicity Parameters to Analyze Proteins Which Bear Postor Cotranslational Modifications. Anal. Biochem. 1991;193: 72-82.
- [22] Fauchere JL, Plisk VE. Hydrophobic parameters of amino-acid side-chains from the partitioning of Nacetyl-amino-acid amide. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1983; 18: 369-375.
- [23] Janin J. Surface and inside volumes in globular proteins. Nature. 1979; 277: 491-492.



- [24] Rao MJK, Argos P. A conformational preference parameter to predict helices in integral membrane proteins. Biochim. Biophys. Acta. 1986; 869: 197-214.
- [25] Tanford C. Contribution of hydrophobic interactions to the stability of the globular conformation of proteins. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1962;84:4240-4274.
- [26] Welling GW, Weijer WJ, Van Der Zee R and Welling-Wester S. Prediction of sequential antigenic regions in proteins. FEBS Lett. 1985; 188: 215—218.
- [27] Rose GD, Geselowitz AR, Lesser GJ, Lee RH, Zehfus MH. Hydrophobicity of amino acid residues in globular proteins. Science. 1985;229: 834-838.
- [28] Wolfenden RV, Andersson L, Cullis PM, Southgate CCF. Affinities of amino-acid side-chains for solvent water. Biochemistry. 1985;20(4): 849-855.
- [29] Wilson KJ, Honegger A, Stotzel RP, Hughes GJ. The behaviour of peptides on reverse-phase supports during high-pressure liquid chromatography. Biochem. J. 1981;199:31-41.
- [30] Aguilar JC, Rodríguez EG. Vaccine adjuvants revisited. Vaccine. 2007;25(19): 3752–3762.
- [31] Gomase VS, Kale KV, Chikhale NJ, Changbhale SS. Prediction of MHC binding peptides and epitopes from alfalfa mosaic virus. Curr Drug Discov Technol. 2007 Aug;4(2):117-215.
- [32] Gomase VS. Prediction of antigenic epitopes of neurotoxin Bmbktx1 from Mesobuthus martensii. Curr Drug Discov Technol. 2006 Sep;3(3):225-9.

Table-1Emini Surface Accessibility Prediction Result Data Average: Average: 1.000Minimum: 0.059Maximum: 5.710

| ſ | Position | Residue | Peptide start position | Peptide end position | Peptide         | Score       |
|---|----------|---------|------------------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|
|   | 27       | Т       | 25                     | 30                   | LCTDKK          | 1.527       |
| ſ | 28       | D       | 26                     | 31                   | CTDKKG          | 1.833       |
|   | 29       | К       | 27                     | 32                   | TD <b>K</b> KGD | 5.710 (max) |
|   | 44       | V       | 42                     | 47                   | YGVVCW          | 0.173       |
|   | 45       | V       | 43                     | 48                   | GVVCWC          | 0.059 (min) |

Table-2 Karplus Schulz Flexibility Prediction Result Data Average: Average: 0.980 Minimum: 0.894 Maximum: 1.107

| Position | Residue | Peptide start | Peptide end | Peptide | Score       |
|----------|---------|---------------|-------------|---------|-------------|
|          |         | position      | position    |         |             |
| 38       | W       | 35            | 41          | ACDWWVP | 0.898       |
| 39       | W       | 36            | 42          | CDWWVPY | 0.894 (min) |
| 40       | V       | 37            | 43          | DWWVPYG | 0.908       |
| 59       | G       | 56            | 62          | PIRGSGK | 1.091       |
| 60       | S       | 57            | 63          | IRGSGKC | 1.107 (max) |